# STUDENT EVALUATION OF FIELDWORK EXPERIENCE Thomas Jefferson University

#### Instructions to the student:

- 1. **Complete** this STUDENT EVALUATION OF THE FIELDWORK EXPERIENCE (SEFWE) form prior to your final meeting with your fieldwork educator(s). The evaluation of the student (FWPE) should be reviewed first, followed by the student's evaluation of the fieldwork experience (SEFWE), allowing the student to be honest and constructive.
- 2. **Provide** both hard copy and electronic copy to your FW educator (they should be identical). It is imperative that you **review** the form with your supervisor and that both parties sign on page 1.
- 3. **Upload** the completed word file to Canvas and keep a copy for yourself. This information may be reviewed by future students in the TJU Department of Occupational Therapy.

#### Instructions to the Fieldwork Educator:

The evaluation of the student (FWPE) should be reviewed first, followed by the student's evaluation of the fieldwork experience (SEFWE), allowing the student to be honest and constructive. Please review and sign, so that the student can submit the evaluation to the University.

| FIELDWORK SITE:   |   | ☐ 1 <sup>st</sup> Level II FW<br>☐ 2 <sup>nd</sup> Level II FW  |                       |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ADDRESS:  |   | PLACEMENT DATES: Fro  | om: To:               |  |  |  |  |
| Please write your email address hexperience at this site:   | nere if you don't mind futu   | re students contacting you  | to ask you about your |  |  |  |  |
| We have mutually shared and c   | clarified this Student Ev   | aluation of Fieldwork Exp   | perience report.      |  |  |  |  |
| Student's Name (please print)   | Ē   | W Educator Name/Creden  | tials (please print)  |  |  |  |  |
| Student's Signature   |   | W Educator Signature  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| OT Fieldwork Practice Settings  | (check all that apply):   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Hospital-based settings  In-Patient Acute 1.1  In-Patient Rehab 1.2  SNF/Sub-Acute 1.3  Nursing Home/LTC 1.3.1  General Rehab Outpt 1.4  Work Program/Hardening 1.4.1  Outpt Hands 1.5  Pediatric Hospital In-Patient 1.6  Pediatric Hospital Outpt 1.7  In-Patient Mental Health 1.8  Forensic 1.8.1 | Community-based settings Pediatric Community 2.1 Mental Health Community Older Adult Community Liv Older Adult Day Program 2 Outpt General Private Practic Adult Day Program for DD Home Health 2.7 Pediatric Outpatient Clinic | ing 2.3  .4  Other Area(s): tice 2.5  □ Assistive Techno e 2.5.1  □ Residential - Non Please specify: | .3.1                  |  |  |  |  |

#### **ORIENTATION**

Indicate your view of the orientation by checking "Satisfactory" (S) or "Needs Improvement" (I) regarding the three factors of adequacy, organization, and timeliness.

|     | TOPIC                                      | Aded | quate | Orga | nized | Tin | nely | NA |
|-----|--|------|-------|------|-------|-----|------|----|
|     |  | S    | I     | S    | I     | S   | I    |    |
| 1.  | Site-specific fieldwork objectives         |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 2.  | Student supervision process                |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 3.  | Requirements/assignments for students      |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 4.  | Student schedule (daily/weekly/monthly)    |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 5.  | Staff introductions                        |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 6.  | Overview of physical facilities            |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 7.  | Agency/Department mission                  |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 8.  | Overview of organizational structure       |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 9.  | Services provided by the agency            |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 10. | Agency/Department policies and procedures  |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 11. | Role of other team members                 |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 12. | Documentation procedures                   |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 13. | Safety and emergency procedures            |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 14. | Confidentiality/HIPPA                      |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 15. | OSHA – Standard precautions                |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 16. | Community resources for service recipients |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 17. | Department model of practice               |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 18. | Role of Occupational Therapy services      |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 19. | Methods for evaluating OT services         |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |
| 20. | Other                                      |      |       |      |       |     |      |    |

| Comments or suggestions regarding your of | orientation to this fieldwork placement: |
|---|--|
|---|--|

CASELOAD

List approximate number of each age category in your caseload.

| Age             | Number<br>(Not %) |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| 0-3 years old   |                   |
| 3-5 years old   |                   |
| 6-12 years old  |                   |
| 13-21 years old |                   |
| 22-65 years old |                   |
| >65 years old   |                   |

List approximate number of each primary condition/problem/diagnosis in your caseload

| Condition/Problem | Number<br>(Not %) |
|-------------------|-------------------|
|                   |                   |
|                   |                   |
|                   |                   |
|                   |                   |
|                   |                   |
|                   |                   |
|                   |                   |
|                   |                   |

### **OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY PROCESS**

Indicate the approximate number of screenings/evaluations you did; also indicate their value to your learning experience by *checking* the number, with #1 being least valuable and #5 being the most valuable.

|                                 | REQU | JIRED | HOW MANY | EDUCATIONAL<br>VALUE |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|----------|----------------------|
|                                 | Yes  | No    |          | 1 2 3 4 5            |
| Client/patient screening        |      |       |          |                      |
| 2. Written treatment/care plans |      |       |          |                      |
| 3. Discharge summary            |      |       |          |                      |

Indicate the approximate number of times you have utilized the following assessments.

| Canadian Occ. Perform. Measure (COPM) DASH/Quick DASH Functional Independence Measure (FIM) Runcional Independence Measure (FIM) Coc. Performance History Interview Occupational Self Assessment Self-Assessment of Occ. Functioning Other:  Assworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Boynamometer (grip) Coniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test Nine Hole Peg Test Purdue Peg Test Other:  Bruininks-Oseretsky Test-2 (BOT-2) Children's Assess of Part & Enjoy (CAPE) Children's Assessment Developmental Motor Suddents Test of Visual Perception Early Coping Inventory Other:  Caradian Occ.  Early Coping Inventory Children's Assess of Part & Enjoy (Paper) Early Coping Inventory Ot |      |   | 1 |       |   |  |
|--|------|---|---|-------|---|--|
| Functional Independence Measure (FIM) Kitchen Task Assessment Occ. Performance History Interview Occupational Self Assessment Occ. Performance History Interview Occupational Self Assessment Self-Assessment of Occ. Functioning Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry WeeFIM Other:  Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Children's Occupational Self Assessment Developmental Test of Visual Perception Early Coping Inventory Barty Coping Inventory Ba |      | Canadian Occ. Perform. Measure (COPM)     |   |       | Bruininks-Oseretsky Test-2 (BOT-2)          |  |
| Occupational Self Assessment Self-Assessment of Occ. Functioning Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Occ. Functioning  Miller Function and Part. Scale (M-FUN) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Piers Harris Self Concept Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Piers Harris Self Concept Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peirs Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory  Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)  | ٥    | DASH/Quick DASH                           |   |       | Children's Asses of Part & Enjoy (CAPE)     |  |
| Occupational Self Assessment Self-Assessment of Occ. Functioning Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Boynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Minne Hole Peg Test Nine Hole Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Gardner DVPT: Motor/Non-Motor Hawaii Early Learning Profile (HELP) Miller Function and Part. Scale (M-FUN) Peabody Dvevelopmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Piers Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory  Harter Self Perception Profile School of Function Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory  Harter Self Perception Profile Moddlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)  Motor-Table Manual Muscle Testing Allen Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)  Middlesex Elderly Assessment (MOCA)  | atio | Functional Independence Measure (FIM)     |   |       | Children's Occupational Self Assessment     |  |
| Occupational Self Assessment Self-Assessment of Occ. Functioning Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Occ. Functioning  Miller Function and Part. Scale (M-FUN) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Piers Harris Self Concept Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Piers Harris Self Concept Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Peirs Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory  Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)  | dno  | Kitchen Task Assessment                   |   |       | Developmental Test of Visual Perception     |  |
| Self-Assessment of Occ. Functioning Other:    Asworth Scale (Tone)   |      | Occ. Performance History Interview        |   | atric | Early Coping Inventory                      |  |
| Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Miller Function and Part. Scale (M-FUN) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Piers Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Other:  Other:  |      | Occupational Self Assessment              |   | edi   | Gardner DVPT: Motor/Non-Motor               |  |
| Other:  Asworth Scale (Tone) Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Miller Function and Part. Scale (M-FUN) Peabody Developmental Motor Scale Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI) Piers Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Other:  Other:  | √rea | Self-Assessment of Occ. Functioning       |   | al-F  | Hawaii Early Learning Profile (HELP)        |  |
| Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Manual Muscle Testing Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Nine Hole Peg Test Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Priers Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Other:  | 1    | Other:                                    |   | hent  | Miller Function and Part. Scale (M-FUN)     |  |
| Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Manual Muscle Testing Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Nine Hole Peg Test Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Priers Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Other:  |      |   |   | opn   | Peabody Developmental Motor Scale           |  |
| Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) Bennett Hand Tool Test Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Nine Hole Peg Test Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills Priers Harris Self Concept Scale Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Other:   |      | Asworth Scale (Tone)                      |   | evel  | Pediatric Evaluation of Disability (PEDI)   |  |
| Bennett Hand Tool Test Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  School of Function Assessment (SFA) Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Profile Social Skills Rating/Improvement System WeeFIM Other:  Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory   |      | Assessment of Motor and Perceptual Skills |   |       | Piers Harris Self Concept Scale             |  |
| Box and Block BTE Dynamometer (grip) Goniometry Jebson Hand Function Test Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Sensory Processing Measure (SPM) Sensory Processing Measure (Span) Sensory Processing Measure (Span) Sensory Processing Near Sensory |      | Beery Visual Motor Integration Test (VMI) |   |       | Preference for Activities of Children (PAC) |  |
| Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Manual Muscle Testing Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognistat Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory  | l _  | Bennett Hand Tool Test                    |   |       | School of Function Assessment (SFA)         |  |
| Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Manual Muscle Testing Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognistat Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory  | tua  | Box and Block                             |   |       | Sensory Processing Measure (SPM)            |  |
| Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Manual Muscle Testing Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognistat Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory  | deo. | ВТЕ                                       |   |       | Sensory Profile                             |  |
| Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Manual Muscle Testing Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognistat Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory  | -Pel | Dynamometer (grip)                        |   |       | Social Skills Rating/Improvement System     |  |
| Manual Muscle Testing Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Manual Muscle Testing Allen Cognitive Level Screening: Allen Diagnotic Module Cognistat Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory  | otor | Goniometry                                |   |       | WeeFIM                                      |  |
| Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory   |      | Jebson Hand Function Test                 |   |       | Other:                                      |  |
| Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3) Moberg Pick Up Test Nine Hole Peg Test Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter: Other:  Cognistat Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota Coping Inventory Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory   | sory | Manual Muscle Testing                     |   |       | Allen Cognitive Level Screening:            |  |
| Moberg Pick Up Test  Nine Hole Peg Test  Pain Scale  Pinch Dynamometer  Purdue Peg Test  Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament  Valpar 9  Volumeter:  Ogenitive Assessment of Minnesota  Coping Inventory  Harter Self Perception Profile  Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills  LOTCA  Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State  Mini Mental State:  Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)  Routine Task Inventory  | Sen  | Minnesota Rate of Manipulation            |   |       | Allen Diagnotic Module                      |  |
| Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter:  Other:  Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory   |      | Motor-Free Visual Percep Test (MVPT-3)    |   | sial  | Cognistat                                   |  |
| Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter:  Other:  Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory   |      | Moberg Pick Up Test                       |   | )SO   | Cognitive Assessment of Minnesota           |  |
| Pain Scale Pinch Dynamometer Pinch Dynamometer Purdue Peg Test Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament Valpar 9 Volumeter:  Other:  Harter Self Perception Profile Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills LOTCA Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State Mini Mental State: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) Routine Task Inventory   |      | Nine Hole Peg Test                        |   | ycho  | Coping Inventory                            |  |
| Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament   O Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State   |      | Pain Scale                                |   | Ps    | Harter Self Perception Profile              |  |
| Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament   O Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State   |      | Pinch Dynamometer                         |   | ive-  | Kohlman Evaluation of Living Skills         |  |
| Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament   O Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State   |      | Purdue Peg Test                           |   | gnit  | LOTCA                                       |  |
| Volumeter:         Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)           Other:         Routine Task Inventory  |      | Semmes-Weinstein Monofilament             |   | ပိ    | Middlesex Elderly Assess of Mental State    |  |
| Other: Routine Task Inventory  |      | Valpar 9                                  |   |       | Mini Mental State:                          |  |
|  |      | Volumeter:                                |   |       | Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)        |  |
| Other:   |      | Other:                                    |   |       | Routine Task Inventory                      |  |
|  |      |   |   |       | Other:                                      |  |
| <u> </u>   |      |   |   |       |   |  |

List major therapeutic interventions frequently used and indicate whether it was provided individually, in group, co-treatment, or consultation. List other professionals involved.

| THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS   | Individual | Group | Co-Tx | Consultation |
|---|------------|-------|-------|--------------|
| Occupation-based activity, i.e. play, shopping, ADL, IADL, work, school activites, etc (within client's own context with their goals) |            |       |       |              |
| 1.  |            |       |       |              |
| 2.  |            |       |       |              |
| 3.  |            |       |       |              |
| 4.  |            |       |       |              |
| Purposeful Activity (therapeutic context leading to occupation)   |            |       |       |              |
| 1.  |            |       |       |              |
| 2.  |            |       |       |              |
| 3.  |            |       |       |              |
| 4.  |            |       |       |              |
| Preparatory methods, i.e. sensory, PAM's, splinting, exercise, etc (preparation for occupation-based activity)                        |            |       |       |              |
| 1.  |            |       |       |              |
| 2.  |            |       |       |              |
| 3.  |            |       |       |              |
| 4.  |            |       |       |              |

### THEORY - FRAMES OF REFERENCE - MODELS OF PRACTICE

Indicate frequency of theory/frames of reference used.

|   | Never | Rarely | Occasionally | Frequently |
|---|-------|--------|--------------|------------|
| Model of Human Occupation               |       |        |              |            |
| Occupation Adaptation                   |       |        |              |            |
| Ecology of Human Performance            |       |        |              |            |
| Person-Environment-Occupation Model     |       |        |              |            |
| Biomechanical Frame of Reference        |       |        |              |            |
| Rehabilitation Frame of Reference       |       |        |              |            |
| Neurodevelopmental Theory               |       |        |              |            |
| Sensory Integration                     |       |        |              |            |
| Behaviorism                             |       |        |              |            |
| Cognitive Theory                        |       |        |              |            |
| Cognitive Disability Frame of Reference |       |        |              |            |
| Motor Learning Frame of Reference       |       |        |              |            |
| Other (please list):                    |       |        |              |            |
|   |       |        |              |            |
|   |       |        |              |            |

#### FIELDWORK ASSIGNMENTS

List the types of assignments required of you at this placement (check all that apply), and indicate their educational value (1=not valuable, 5=very valuable).

| ASSIGNMENT  | 1  | 2     | 3 | 4          | 5               | N/A                                     |    |   |
|---|--|-------|---|------------|-----------------|---|----|---|
| Case-study applying the Practice Framework  |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| , , , , ,   |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| Evidence-based practice presentation Topic:   |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| Revision of site-specific fieldwork objectives  |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| Program development Topic:  |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| In-service/Presentation Topic:  |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| Research  |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| Topic:  |  |       | Ш | Ш          | Ш               | Ш                                       |    |   |
| Other (please list):  |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
|   |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
|   |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
|   |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| ASPECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT  2 = Occasionally 3 = Frequently 4 = Consistently  |  |       |   |            |                 |   |    |   |
| ASPECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT  |  |       |   | 3 :        | = Fred          | quently                                 |    |   |
| ASPECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT  |  |       |   | 3 :        | = Fred          | quently                                 | ly | 4 |
| ASPECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT  Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity  | /  |       |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity The Practice Framework was integrated into practice  | /  |       |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate.   |  |       | ] | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's,  |  | ides  |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals.   |  | iides |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students.   | OTA's, and/or a                                | iides |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals Opportunities to interact with other OT students Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplination.  | OTA's, and/or a                                | iides |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals Opportunities to interact with other OT students Opportunities to interact with students from other discipling Staff used a team approach to care  | OTA's, and/or a                                | iides |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals Opportunities to interact with other OT students Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplistaff used a team approach to care Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic re  | OTA's, and/or a                                | ides  |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplications Staff used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic recomportunities to expand knowledge of community resources.   | OTA's, and/or a                                | ides  |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplicated at the staff used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic recomportunities to expand knowledge of community resou Opportunities to participate in research.   | OTA's, and/or a                                | iides |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly |   |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplications Staff used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic recomportunities to expand knowledge of community resources.   | OTA's, and/or a                                | iides |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplicated at the staff used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic recomportunities to expand knowledge of community resou Opportunities to participate in research.   | OTA's, and/or a                                | ides  |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplicated at the staff used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic recomportunities to expand knowledge of community resou Opportunities to participate in research.   | OTA's, and/or a                                | ides  |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | quently<br>sistent                      | ly | 4 |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplicated used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic recomportunities to expand knowledge of community resou Opportunities to participate in research. Additional educational opportunities (please specify):   | OTA's, and/or a                                | ides  |   | 3 :<br>4 : | = Fred<br>= Con | 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | ly |   |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplistaff used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic re. Opportunities to expand knowledge of community resou. Opportunities to participate in research. Additional educational opportunities (please specify):   | OTA's, and/or a<br>ines<br>lationships<br>rces | iides |   | 3:4:       | = Fred<br>= Con | 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |    |   |
| Staff and administration demonstrated cultural sensitivity. The Practice Framework was integrated into practice. Student work areas/supplies/equipment were adequate. Opportunities to collaborate with and/or supervise OT's, Opportunities to network with other professionals. Opportunities to interact with other OT students. Opportunities to interact with students from other disciplistaff used a team approach to care. Opportunities to observe role modeling of therapeutic recopportunities to expand knowledge of community resou Opportunities to participate in research. Additional educational opportunities (please specify):  How would you describe the pace of this setting?  Types of documentation used in this setting: | OTA's, and/or a<br>ines<br>lationships<br>rces |       |   | 3:4:       | = Fred<br>= Con | 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |    |   |

## **SUPERVISION**

| What was the primary model of supervision us                    | ed? (check one)    |                    |                     |              |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| one supervisor : one student one supervisor : group of students |                    |                    |                     |              |
| two supervisors : one student                                   |                    |                    |                     |              |
| one supervisor : two students                                   |                    |                    |                     |              |
| distant supervision (primarily off-site)                        |                    |                    |                     |              |
| three or more supervisors : one student (co                     | unt person as su   | pervisor if superv | vision occurs at le | east weekly) |
|   |                    |                    |                     |              |
| List fieldwork educators who participated in you                | ur learning experi | ience:             |                     |              |
|   |                    |                    |                     |              |
| I NIA NAC   | On a slam # a la   | F                  | المحالة بالمحال     | O            |
| NAME  | Credentials        | Frequency          | Individual          | Group        |
| NAME<br>1.  | Credentials        | Frequency          | Individual          | Group        |
| 1.  | Credentials        | Frequency          | Individual          | Group        |
|   | Credentials        | Frequency          | Individual          | Group        |
| 1.  | Credentials        | Frequency          | Individual          | Group        |
| 1. 2.   | Credentials        | Frequency          | Individual          | Group        |

| SUMMARY  |   | 1 = Strongly Disagree<br>2 = Disagree<br>3 = No Opinion<br>4 = Agree<br>5 = Strongly Agree |   |              |     |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--------------|-----|--|--|--|
|  | 1 | 2  | 3 | 4            | 5   |  |  |  |
| Expectations of fieldwork experience were clearly defined  |   |  |   |              |     |  |  |  |
| Expectations were challenging but not overwhelming   |   |  |   |              |     |  |  |  |
| Experiences supported student's professional development   |   |  |   |              |     |  |  |  |
| Experiences matched student's expectations   |   |  |   |              |     |  |  |  |
| What particular qualities or personal performance skills do yo successfully on this particular fieldwork placement?  What advice do you have for future students who wish to prepose the study the following evaluations:  Study the following intervention methods:  Read up on the following in advance:  Other  Other |   |  |   | function     |     |  |  |  |
| Overall, what changes would you recommend in this Level II  ——  Please feel free to add any further comments, descriptions or center.  ——  |   | ·  |   | eldwork at t | his |  |  |  |

| Indicate the number which seems descriptive of each fieldwork educator.  Please make a copy of this page for each individual.  FIELDWORK SITE NAME:  STUDENT NAME:  FIELDWORK EDUCATOR NAME:  FIELDWORK EDUCATOR YEARS OF EXPERIENCE: | 1 = Strongly Disagree<br>2 = Disagree<br>3 = No Opinion<br>4 = Agree<br>5 = Strongly Agree |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| TILLEDWORK EDUCATOR TEARS OF EXPERIENCE.  | 1  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Provided ongoing positive feedback in a timely manner   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Provided ongoing constructive feedback in a timely manner   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Reviewed written work in a timely manner  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Made specific suggestions to student to improve performance   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Provided clear performance expectations   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Sequenced learning experiences to grade progression   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Used a variety of instructional strategies  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Taught knowledge and skills to facilitate learning and challenge student  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Identified resources to promote student development   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Presented clear explanations  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Facilitated student's clinical reasoning  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Used a variety of supervisory approaches to facilitate student performance  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Elicited and responded to student feedback and concerns   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Adjusted responsibilities to facilitate student's growth  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Modeled and encouraged occupation-based practice  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Modeled and encouraged evidence-based practice  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Frequency of meetings/types of meetings with supervisor (value/frequency):  General comments on supervision:  |  |   |   |   |   |  |

| Provided ongoing positive feedback in a timely manner   | Indicate the number which seems descriptive of each fieldwork educator.  Please make a copy of this page for each individual.  FIELDWORK SITE NAME:  STUDENT NAME:  FIELDWORK EDUCATOR NAME:  FIELDWORK EDUCATOR YEARS OF EXPERIENCE: | 1 = Strongly Disagree<br>2 = Disagree<br>3 = No Opinion<br>4 = Agree<br>5 = Strongly Agree |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Provided ongoing constructive feedback in a timely manner  Reviewed written work in a timely manner  Made specific suggestions to student to improve performance  Provided clear performance expectations  Sequenced learning experiences to grade progression  Used a variety of instructional strategies  Taught knowledge and skills to facilitate learning and challenge student  Identified resources to promote student development  Presented clear explanations  Facilitated student's clinical reasoning  Used a variety of supervisory approaches to facilitate student performance  Elicited and responded to student feedback and concerns  Adjusted responsibilities to facilitate student's growth  Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed  Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice  Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice | TILLEWORK EDUCATOR TEARS OF EXPERIENCE.   | 1  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Reviewed written work in a timely manner    Made specific suggestions to student to improve performance   | Provided ongoing positive feedback in a timely manner   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Made specific suggestions to student to improve performance   | Provided ongoing constructive feedback in a timely manner   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Provided clear performance expectations  Sequenced learning experiences to grade progression  Used a variety of instructional strategies  Taught knowledge and skills to facilitate learning and challenge student  Identified resources to promote student development  Presented clear explanations  Facilitated student's clinical reasoning  Used a variety of supervisory approaches to facilitate student performance  Elicited and responded to student feedback and concerns  Adjusted responsibilities to facilitate student's growth  Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed  Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice  Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice   | Reviewed written work in a timely manner  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Sequenced learning experiences to grade progression   | Made specific suggestions to student to improve performance   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Used a variety of instructional strategies  Taught knowledge and skills to facilitate learning and challenge student  Identified resources to promote student development  Presented clear explanations  Facilitated student's clinical reasoning  Used a variety of supervisory approaches to facilitate student performance  Elicited and responded to student feedback and concerns  Adjusted responsibilities to facilitate student's growth  Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed  Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice  Modeled and encouraged occupation-based practice  Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice   | Provided clear performance expectations   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Taught knowledge and skills to facilitate learning and challenge student  | Sequenced learning experiences to grade progression   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Identified resources to promote student development   | Used a variety of instructional strategies  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Presented clear explanations  | Taught knowledge and skills to facilitate learning and challenge student  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Facilitated student's clinical reasoning  | Identified resources to promote student development   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Used a variety of supervisory approaches to facilitate student performance  | Presented clear explanations  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Elicited and responded to student feedback and concerns  Adjusted responsibilities to facilitate student's growth  Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed  Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice  Modeled and encouraged occupation-based practice  Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice  | Facilitated student's clinical reasoning  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Adjusted responsibilities to facilitate student's growth  Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed  Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice  Modeled and encouraged occupation-based practice  Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice   | Used a variety of supervisory approaches to facilitate student performance  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed   | Elicited and responded to student feedback and concerns   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice   | Adjusted responsibilities to facilitate student's growth  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Modeled and encouraged occupation-based practice  | Supervision changed as fieldwork progressed   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice   | Provided a positive role model of professional behavior in practice   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
|   | Modeled and encouraged occupation-based practice  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Modeled and encouraged evidence-based practice  | Modeled and encouraged client-centered practice   |  |   |   |   |   |  |
|   | Modeled and encouraged evidence-based practice  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Frequency of meetings/types of meetings with supervisor (value/frequency):  General comments on supervision:  |   |  |   |   |   |   |  |